# CASE REPORT

# Two-Phase Orthodontic Treatment in a Patient With Turner Syndrome: An Unusual Case of Deep Bite

Juan Fernando Aristizábal, D.D.S., Rosana Martínez Smit, D.D.S.

Turner syndrome is caused by complete or partial absence of one X chromosome. These patients usually have a delay in growth and altered body proportions, causing sexual infantilism, short stature, delayed bone maturation, and variations in craniofacial morphology, among other systemic complications. The skeletal features associated with this syndrome include maxillary growth reduction with midface hypoplasia; mandibular micrognathia; high, narrow palate; V-shaped maxillary arch; and open bite. This case report shows a two-phase orthodontic treatment in a patient with Turner syndrome with a Class II malocclusion and severe deep bite, which is an unusual feature in patients with this disease. A conventional orthodontic treatment was performed, and after 20 months in retention the patient remains stable.

KEY WORDS: deep bite, orthodontic treatment, Turner syndrome, two-phase treatment

Harry Turner (1939) identified the combination of short stature, lack of sexual development, webbed neck, and cubitus valgus found in seven women as Turner syndrome (TS). Ford et al. (1959) identified the genetic cause of TS as the absence of one X chromosome. Since then, different types of TS have been reported, the total absence of one X chromosome being the most severe form of the disease. Today a definitive diagnosis of this genetic variation is based on the chromosomal analysis of lymphocytes of peripheral blood or skin fibroblasts (Hall and Gilchrist, 1990).

Turner syndrome affects women, with a prevalence of 1 per 2500 girls born (Boue, 1938; Hook and Warburton, 1983; Hall and Gilchrist, 1990). The facial characteristics of TS are senile appearance, depressed lip angles, low-set ears, and multiple eye symptoms such as ptosis, strabismus, amblyopia, cataracts, and color blindness. Other clinical signs are short stature; primary amenorrhea; poorly developed genitalia with scarce pubic hair; pterygium colli; low hairline; broad chest and widely spaced nipples; narrowing of the aorta; multiple nevi; cubitus valgus; short fourth finger or toe; small, spoon-shaped nails; intestinal and renal problems; and feet and hand lymphedema (Gorlin, 1963; Lyons, 1968; Madléna et al., 1994). Even though a delay in growth and altered body proportions are not pathognomonic clinical characteristics of TS, patients often present these features (Hall and Gilchrist, 1990; Vandewalle et al., 1993). This delay and the reduction in growth are due to the absence of a pubertal growth spurt, sexual infantilism, short stature, delayed bone age, and morphological craniofacial variations (Hall and Gilchrist, 1990; Rongen-Westerlaken et al., 1993; Vandewalle et al., 1993).

Chromosome alteration studies have shown that both the X and Y chromosomes promote dental growth, meaning that sexual chromosomes contain genes for dental development (Alvesalo, 1985). Among the different dental anomalies associated with TS are small teeth, simple crown morphology, thin enamel layer, short roots, and idiopathic root resorption (Fillipson, 1965; Motohashi, 1985; Varrela, 1990; Mayhall and Alvesalo, 1991; Midtbø and Halse, 1994). The skeletal characteristics associated with TS are reduction in maxillary growth with hypoplasia of the facial middle third; wide micrognathic mandible; anterior open bite; high, narrow palate; and V-shaped upper arch (Rongen-Westerlaken et al., 1993; Horowitz and Morishima, 1974). There have been also reports of patients with TS who have cleft lip and palate (Corona-Rivera et al., 2002).

There are only three reports of patients with TS treated with fixed orthodontic appliances and all of them had anterior open bites (Takeyama et al., 1990; Russell, 2001; Jivănescu et al., 2012). The purpose of this article is to report a two-phase orthodontic treatment in a patient with TS who presented a severe deep bite.

# **CLINICAL FINDINGS**

The patient was Colombian mestizo girl, 6 years 5 months old. The chief orthodontic complaint of the

Dr. Aristizábal is Assistant Professor and Head, Department of Orthodontics, Universidad del Valle, Cali, Colombia. Dr. Martínez is Orthodontic Resident, Department of Orthodontics, CES University, Medellín, Colombia.

Submitted June 2013; Revised August 2013, February 2014, July 2014; Accepted September 2014.

Address all correspondence to: Dr. Juan Fernando Aristizábal, Carrera 100 #11–60 Office 505, Cali, Colombia. E-mail juanferaristi@ hotmail.com.

DOI: 10.1597/13-157



FIGURE 1 Phase 1 pretreatment facial and intraoral photographs.

parents was that "she has a big clearance between upper and lower teeth." Her clinical history included premature birth after 33 weeks, neonatal jaundice, severe oligohydramnios, patent oval foramen, and feet and hand lymphedema. Turner Syndrome was diagnosed by means of karyotype where X chromosome monosomy was detected.

During her childhood the patient presented recurrent otitis media and was diagnosed with bilateral conductive hearing loss. She had hypothyroidism and a 3-year delay in





FIGURE 3 Phase 1 pretreatment radiographs. A: Lateral cephalogram. B: Panoramic radiograph.

her skeletal growth; thus, she was treated with growth hormones. Aortic coarctation was discarded, but the presence of a bicuspid aortic valve and mild tricuspid insufficiency were observed.

During the clinical evaluation were observed low-set ears, short and wide neck, and oval palate. She had a convex profile and a Class II malocclusion with an increased overjet and a deep bite (Figs. 1 and 2). Her cephalometric diagnosis (T0) was a skeletal Class II with micrognathia and retrognathia (Fig. 3; Table 1). She had a narrow airway space as a consequence of the maxillomandibular retrognathism, which may indicate an elevated risk of sleep apnea.

# **TREATMENT OBJECTIVES**

The following treatment objectives were established: (1) correct the Class II skeletal pattern; (2) improve the sagittal position of the mandible; (3) reduce the overjet and overbite; (4) guide the eruption of the permanent dentition; and (5) improve her facial aesthetics.

### **TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES**

There were some different treatment alternatives for the correction of the Class II malocclusion of the patient. The first treatment option considered was surgery when the

Measurements	T0	T1	<i>T2</i>	T1 - T0	T2-T1	T2 - T(
SNA (°)	73	77	77	4	0	4
SNB (°)	67	72	72	5	0	5
ANB (°)*	10	6	6	-4	0	-4
FH-MP (°)	26	24	28	$^{-2}$	4	2
ANS-Me (mm)	58	63	65	5	2	7
Co-A (mm)	80	80	86	0	6	6
Co-Gn	97	100	106	3	6	9
U1-FH (°)	107	108	106	1	$^{-2}$	-1
U1-PP (°)	108	109	108	1	-1	0
U1-SN (°)	93.5	96	97	2.5	1	3.5
Facial profile angle (G'-Sn-Pg)	160	163	165	3	2	5
Nasal projection (Sn-P) (mm)	15	18	20	3	2	5
Lower face height (Sn-Me') (mm)	65	66	68	1	2	3
Lower face (Sn-Me'/G'-Me') (%)	56	53	51	-3	-2	-5
Chin projection (B'-Sn'Pg') (mm)	2	2	2	0	0	0
Nasolabial angle (Col-Sn-ULA) (°)	98	101	105	3	4	7
Upper lip length (Sn-ULI) (mm)	11	12	12	1	0	1
Upper lip thickness (ULM-ULA) (mm)	12	13	15	1	2	3
Maxillary sulcus contour (ULA-A'-Sn) (°)	160	157	156	-3	-1	-4
Upper lip protrusion (ULA-SnPg') (mm)	6	4	3	$^{-2}$	-1	-3
Upper incisor exposure (StS-U1) (mm)	6	6	6	0	0	0
Interlabial gap (StS-Stl) (mm)	8	1	3	-7	$^{-2}$	-5
Lower lip-chin length (Stl-Me') (mm)	40	42	43	2	1	3
Lower lip thickness (LLM-LLA) (mm)	11	12	13	1	1	2
Mandibular sulcus contour (LLA-B'-Pg') (°)	132	130	130	$^{-2}$	0	$^{-2}$
Lower lip protrusion (LLA-SnPg') (mm)	4	5	4	1	-1	0

Т



FIGURE 4 Phase 1 of treatment, orthopedics with Twin Block.

patient completes her skeletal growth, but due to possible complications during intubation, this option was dismissed. Another option was treating the patient with only the corrective phase with orthodontic fixed appliances, avoiding the orthopedic phase with the functional appliance, but the parents were concerned about the appearance of the girl and her self-confidence, in addition to the possible dentoalveolar trauma due to the protrusion of anterior teeth.

#### **TREATMENT PROGRESS**

It was decided that treatment should wait until the upper permanent lateral incisors erupted. The first phase of treatment was initiated when the patient was 7 years 4 months old. The patient used a Twin Block (Fig. 4) 24 hours a day for 15 months. The appliance had a 70° ramp with a lower buccal arch to control the inclination of the lower incisors and an upper buccal arch that did not contact the upper incisors in order to reduce dentoalveolar compensation and correct the Class II skeletal pattern. The Twin Block was configured with larger posterior blocks, allowing anterior and upper rotation of the mandible and improving the mandibular Class II relationship. At the age of 8 years 7 months, an eruption guide and  $4\times 2$  orthodontic treatment were started to align and facilitate the eruption of upper permanent canines.

The second phase of treatment was initiated when the patient was 11 years 9 months and had permanent dentition. She had a convex profile and Class II malocclusion with increased overjet and deep bite (Fig. 5). Her cephalometric diagnosis (T1)was skeletal Class II with micrognathia and retrognathia, protrusion and vertical excess of the maxilla, acute nasolabial angle, lingual inclination and extrusion of the maxillary incisors, and labial inclination of the lower incisors (Fig. 6; Table 1).



FIGURE 5 Phase 2 pretreatment photographs.



FIGURE 6 Phase 2 pretreatment radiographs. A: Lateral cephalogram. B: Panoramic radiograph.

It was decided to start the second phase of treatment with Orthos brackets (Ormco Corp., Orange, CA) slot  $0.022 \times 0.028$ . After leveling and alignment, the orthodontic treatment continued with intrusion of the upper and lower incisors (Fig. 7). A conventional arch sequence was followed. The patient used Class II elastics (3/16, 3.5 onz), and reverse curve of Spee arches were used from early stages of the treatment in the lower arch to manage vertical height. The finishing and detailing stage was done with titanium molybdenum alloy  $0.017 \times 0.025$  and  $0.019 \times 0.025$  arches. After 40 months of active orthodontic treatment, the Class II malocclusion was corrected and the deep bite, overjet, and overbite were improved (T2). Then it was decided to remove all her fixed appliances and

start retention with Hawley retainers (Figs. 8 and 9; Table 1). The patient was remitted to the periodontist for gingivectomy and to the oral surgeon for extraction of her impacted third molars (Fig. 10).

# TREATMENT RESULTS

After phase 1 of treatment with the Twin Block appliance, the sagittal position of the mandible and the maxillomandibular relationship improved. The SNB angle increased 5° and ANB angle decreased 4°. Overjet decreased due to the proinclination of the lower incisors (Table 1).



FIGURE 7 Facial and intraoral photographs during phase 2.



FIGURE 8 Posttreatment facial and intraoral photographs.

During phase 2 of treatment with fixed appliances, the occlusal objectives were accomplished. The deepbite was corrected, arches were widened, and overjet was fully corrected with the proinclination of the lower incisors, maintaining the inclination of the upper incisors. According to the soft tissue measurements (Bergman et al., 2014) the facial profile angle, nasolabial angle, interlabial gap, and upper lip protrusion improved (Fig. 8; Table 1).

The patient was evaluated 20 months posttreatment; occlusal stability was observed and Class I occlusion was maintained, as was the correction of the deep bite (Fig. 11).





FIGURE 10 Posttreatment radiographs. A: Lateral cephalogram. B: Panoramic radiograph.

# DISCUSSION

In patients with syndromic conditions, it is of vital importance to have excellent compliance and commitment to fulfill all the objectives planned during the orthodontic treatment. This is even more important in two-phase treatments, which take longer to complete and sometimes are undertaken to avoid orthognathic surgery, where general anesthesia can result in important complications, especially in TS patients due to their anatomical and physiological variations; a short neck and maxillary and mandibular hypoplasia could make it difficult to access the patient's airways (Maranhão, 2008). Also, because they have a shorter trachea and higher bifurcation point, these patients can be predisposed to bronchial intubation and accidental endotracheal extubation when the tracheal cannula is pulled from the airway (Maranhão, 2008).

In previous reports of patients with TS treated orthodontically (Takeyama et al., 1990; Russell, 2001; Jivănescu et al., 2012), all of them had open bites, which has been



FIGURE 11 Facial and intraoral photographs 20 months posttreatment.

associated with this pathology (Laine and Alvesalo, 1986; Rongen-Westerlaken et al., 1992; Midtbø and Halse, 1994; Szilágyi et al., 2000). However, this case report describes a malocclusion with a severe deep bite, which has been reported to have a low incidence in the TS population, varying from 3% to 31% (Laine and Alvesalo, 1986; Midtbø and Halse, 1996).

There are obvious advantages to treating Class II malocclusions with removable functional appliances prior to fixed appliance therapy. The orthopedic treatment of a Class II skeletal pattern can lead to improvement in orofacial function through muscle adaptation along with dental and skeletal changes (Harzer et al., 2010).

Although the ideal timing for orthopedic treatment for correcting mandibular retrognathia is after the onset of the pubertal growth spurt (Baccetti et al., 2000), and several studies have demonstrated that very early treatment involving two separates phases of therapy do not have any benefits (Tulloch et al., 2004; Dolce et al., 2007; O'Brien et al., 2009), this patient was treated in two phases to achieve a positive effect on her self-esteem (O'Brien et al., 2003).

The Twin Block functional appliance has several advantages, including the fact that it is well tolerated by patients (Harradine and Gale, 2000), is easy to repair, and is suitable to use in the permanent and mixed dentition. There are potential disadvantages such as the proinclination of the lower incisors (Jena et al., 2006) and development of a posterior open bite (Nayak et al., 2011). In this case report, the treatment objectives were achieved largely due to the good compliance by the patient. The patient's chief complaint was the increased overjet. Thus, by reducing the overjet with the functional appliance, the patient's confidence has improved, and the risk of sustaining trauma to the upper incisor was minimized (O'Brien et al., 2003).

This case shows that when the females with TS are treated with conventional orthodontics, good occlusal and facial balance can be achieved with the patients' compliance in both phases of the treatment, with good stability even after 20 months in retention.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

This two-phase treatment with Twin Blocks and fixed orthodontic appliances proved to be effective in the nonsurgical correction of a Class II malocclusion with a severe deep bite in a patient with TS.

The improvement in their facial aesthetics helps patients who have some type of syndrome compromise with their socialization processes and self-esteem.

#### References

Alvesalo L. Dental growth in 47 XYY males and in conditions with other sex-chromosome anomalies. In: Sandberg AA, ed. *The Y*  Chromosome, Part B: Clinical Aspects of Y Chromosome Abnormalities. New York: Wiley; 1985:277–300.

- Baccetti T, Franchi L, Toth LR, McNamara JA Jr. Treatment timing for twin-block therapy. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2000;118:159–170.
- Bergman RT, Waschak J, Borzabadi-Farahani A, Murphy NC. Longitudinal study of cephalometric soft tissue profile traits between the ages of 6 and 18 years. *Angle Orthod.* 2014;84:48–55.
- Boue DC. Turner's syndrome: controlling facial growth. Report of a case. J Int Assoc Dent Child. 1938;14:21–30.
- Clark W. Design and management of Twin Blocks: reflections after 30 years of clinical use. J Orthod. 2010;37;209–216.
- Corona-Rivera JR, Corona-Rivera E, Bobadilla-Morales L, Garcia-Cobia TA, Corona-Rivera A. Cleft lip and/or palate in two cases of 46,X,i(Xq) Turner syndrome. *Genet Couns*. 2002;13:19–22.
- Dolce C, McGorray SP, Brazeau L, King GJ, Wheeler TT. Timing of Class II treatment: skeletal changes comparing 1-phase and 2-phase treatment. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2007;132:481–489.
- Fillipson R. Time of eruption of the permanent teeth, cephalometric and tooth measurements and sulphation factor activity in 45 patients with Turner syndrome with different types of X chromosome aberrations. *Acta Endocrinol.* 1965;48:91–115.
- Ford CE, Jones KW, Almedia JC, De Briggs JH. A sex chromosomal anomaly in a case of gonadal dysgenesis (Turner syndrome). *Lancet.* 1959;1:711–713.
- Gorlin RJ. Chromosomal abnormalities and oral anomalies. J Dent Res. 1963;42:1297–1306.
- Hall JC, Gilchrist DM. Turner syndrome and its variants. *Pediatr Clin* North Am. 1990;37:1421–1440.
- Harradine NWT, Gale D. The effects of torque control spurs in twinblock appliances. *Clin Orthod Res.* 2000;3:202–209.
- Harzer W, Maricic N, Gedrange T, Lewis M, Hunt N. Molecular diagnosis in orthodontics, facial orthopedics and orthognathic surgery: implications for treatment progress and relapse. *Semin Orthod.* 2010;16;118–127.
- Hook EB, Warburton D. The distribution of chromosomal genotypes associated with Turner syndrome: live prevalence rates and evidence for diminished fetal mortality and severity in genotypes associated with the X abnormalities or mosaicism. *Hum Genet*. 1983;64:24–27.
- Horowitz SL, Morishima A. Palatal abnormalities in the syndrome of gonadal dysgenesis and its variants and in Noonan's syndrome. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1974;38:839–844.
- Jena KA, Duggal R, Parkash H. Skeletal and dentoalveolar effects of twin-block and bionator appliances in the treatment of Class II malocclusion: a comparative study. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop*. 2006;130:594–602.
- Jivănescu A, Bratu AE, Naiche D, Scurtu A, Bratu CD. Interdisciplinary in oromaxillofacial dysmorphism rehabilitation of a patient with Turner syndrome. A clinical case report. *Rom J Morphol Embryol.* 2012;53;407–411.
- Laine T, Alvesalo L. Size of the alveolar arch of the mandible in relation to that of the maxilla in 45,X females. *J Dent Res.* 1986;65:1432–1434.
- Lyons DC. Oral and Facial Signs and Symptoms of Systemic Diseases. Springfield IL: Charles Thomas; 1968:139–140.
- Madléna M, Szilágyi Z, Keszthelyi G. Turner syndrome: review of the literature and report of a case. J Dent Child. 1994;61:394–396.
- Maranhão MV. Turner Syndrome and anesthesia. *Rev Bras Anestesiol*. 2008;58(1);84–89.
- Mayhall JT, Alvesalo L. Dental morphology of 45,XO human females: molar cusparea, volume, shape and linear measurements. *Arch Oral Biol.* 1991;37:1039–1043.
- Midtbø M, Halse A. Occlusal morphology in Turner syndrome. Eur J Orthod. 1996;18;103–109

- Midtbø M, Halse A. Tooth crown size and morphology in Turner syndrome. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 1994;52:7–19.
- Motohashi N. Craniofacial dysmorphology in syndromes associated with abnormal physical growth. J Craniofac Genet Dev Biol. 1985;1:211–225.
- Nayak KU, Goyal V, Malviya N. Two-phase treatment of Class II malocclusion in young growing patient. *Contemp Clin Dent.* 2011 Oct;2(4);376–380.
- O'Brien K, Wright J, Conboy F, Appelbe P, Davies L, Connolly I, Mitchell L, Littlewood S, Mandall N, Lewis D, et al. Early treatment for Class II Division 1 malocclusion with the twin-block appliance: a multi-center, randomized, controlled trial. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2009;135;573–579.
- O'Brien K, Wright J, Conboy F, Chadwick S, Connolly I, Cook P, Birnie D, Hammond M, Harradine N, Lewis D, et al. Effectiveness of early orthodontic treatment with the twin-block appliance: a multicenter, randomized, controlled trial. Part 2: psychosocial effects. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2003;124;488–495.
- Rongen-Westerlaken C, Born EVD, Prahl-Andersen B, Rikken B, Teunenbroek V, Kamminga N, et al. Shape of the craniofacial complex in children with Turner syndrome. J Biol Buccale. 1992;20:185–190.

- Rongen-Westerlaken C, Born EVD, Prahl-Andersen B, Teunenbroek V, Manesse P, Otten BJ, Tweel IVD, et al. Effect of growth hormone treatment on craniofacial growth in Turner syndrome. *Acta Paediatr.* 1993;82;364–368.
- Russell KA. Orthodontic treatment for patients with Turner syndrome. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2001;120;314–322.
- Szilágyi A, Keszthelyi G, Nagy G, Madléna M. Oral manifestations of patients with Turner syndrome. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2000;89:577–584.
- Takeyama H, Honzawa O, Hozaki T, Nakamura R. A case of open bite with Turner's syndrome. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 1990;97;505–509.
- Tulloch JF, Proffit WR, Phillips C. Outcomes in a 2-phase randomized clinical trial of early Class II treatment. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2004;125;657–667.
- Turner HH. A syndrome of infantilism, congenital webbed neck, and cubitus valgus. *Endocrinology*. 1939;23:566–574.
- Vandewalle KS, Castro GW, Camm JH. Dental management of a patient with Turner syndrome. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 1993;18:27–30.
- Varrela J. Root morphology of mandibular premolars in human 45,XO females. Arch Oral Biol. 1990;35:109–112.